

## GIScience Workshop Notes:

### Dawn Intro

### Bridging CI and GIScience – A Holistic Approach Shaowen Wang

#### TerraGrid demo

Data simulation service (using SOA)  
Analysis centric view of GIS rather than navigation-centric

Evaluate spatial analysis quality

Service used by several hundred users – several overlapping clusters; saved the data (20,000 data points); then to straightforward spatial interpolation (computing intensive because of nearest neighbor search of all of these points); Open Science grid resource hookup from his lab; k-neighbor values of 5,15; submitted job using a community account provided by CI communities to aggregate users together to XX privileges for them to access data and resources; computation is **lended** on a resource at UIUC but also to Open Science Grid; result is an interpolation overlain on top of the map of the US (ESRI spatial Analyst would have not have been able to do this in a day but the calculation was performed live in a matter of minutes); then he zoomed in to show the interpolation resolution

Will get to flow chart of the above process to demystify what occurred

What is Cyberinfrastructure?  
Applications, data, e-community, more!

#### CI Evolution

- number of supercomputer centers
- NSF networking initiatives
- Then PACI with substantial funding of NSF
- Then Terascale facility
- Now it's "cyberinfrastructure"
- All moving toward knowledge communities combined with data; digital resources combined with high end networking and computing resources

#### Integration/Holism

#### Motivation – What's Beyond/Next

- our digital globe and digital earth vision, and as assisted by vendors such as Google

#### Background Slide

### Review Slide

- domain specific CI activities such as GEON and NEON; driven by those communities rather than us (GIScience) which is cross-cutting

### CI Complexity

- turning vision into action is not easy

### Managing CI Complexity

- problem solving combined with user practice

User is in the middle to emphasis human-centered interaction, around this is middleware

### Middleware of GISolve

Computational Intensity = Wattage?!!

Knowledge about computational intensity; from supercomputing the driver has been to push envelope of quantity and capability; geography has very intensive spatial analysis problems; we need to know how intense the computation will need to be and how geography problems make that so;

Every problem does NOT have to be so computationally intense though to be part of a CI; data problems, knowledge community problems are key

NSF Vision certainly led us to the opportunities to work on problems

Data intensity

virtual organizations

Shaowen's talk later about unique contributions that GIScience community stands to make to CI community

how spatial characteristics contribute to characteristics of spatial analyses computations

### Domain Decomposition and Task Scheduling

- Divide and conquer in order to solve a large multiscale problem; and then move them to the right resources including people

### GISolve Workflow – back to the demo

- all web services that could be shared by others to call out and access
- mini nature of Teragrid with all kinds of services; these are moved to multiple portal servers to load balance the requests
- security access also

### TeraGrid

– for regular users they don't know where a job goes, where computing actually happens out on the network, everything is virtualized across a spectrum (cloud computing); the user just sees the front end

- how are algorithms certified? In order to contribute an algorithm, you have to be registered with a community account; and you must have a certificate for security control; then you can play with your code in a secure, controlled environment to test it; when ready for release there is a manager to take you through several steps to make there is no malicious action in the code
- Paper is in preparation about this whole process; clouds with user having a centric view

#### Open Science Grid

- another example of national infrastructure
- funded by DOE and NSF
- \$30 million budget for 30 (?) years

#### GISolve Services

#### SOA approach

- for those interesting in understanding how things are glued together, this is how things work up through tiers

#### Spatio-Temporal Data Handling and Visualizing

#### Bayesian Geostats Modeling

- notoriously computational intensive
- multiple supercomputers busy linked through high performance networks
- supercomputing problem, when rendered in GISolve it becomes a collaborative problem-solving process; Monte Carlo simulation in order to for users to see if Markov chains converge and if there is good validation results; users have to look at this together and tune the parameters; same analysis environment, they compare notes, use text messaging to refine; spatial stats people were really excited about this; now that the computing is feasible it becomes a collaboration challenge

#### Analyses Supported by the Gateway

- references to papers recently published

production env that he demoed is half year behind prototyping and research env they are working on

#### Summary of demo – Integrated CI-based Workbench

#### Education and Outreach

- TeraGrid is also comparing NanoHops, which is an exemplar of CI community project to support nanotech computation and community building effort; 60,000 users worldwide compared to GISolve which has hundreds of users
- How to grow user base and get community engaged in building their own CI environment

## Conclusions

GISsolve demos a holistic approach to bridging CI and GIScience  
 GISolve is effective for teaching

## CIGI – CI and Geo(graphic) Virtual Organization

- CyberInfrastructure and Geospatial Information Lab

- great to see the integration of the 4 moving across borders
- GIScience community IS getting across all 4 borders in diagram

## Disciplines Involved in the CIGI VO

- they all see the value from the VO, one of over 24 in Open Science Grid
- CIGI is the only GIScience VO in the Open Science Grid
- Serving at-large VO community chair as well

## Global Climate Change Impact

- Nature article in revision, project supported by CDC

## Digital Watershed Cyberenvironments

WATERS project led by James D. Myers of NCSA

## Ongoing R&D

Half million-supercomputer hours used?

---

## **GeoCyberinfrastructure**

### **Phil Yang**

- personal view of CI, will show several examples from his research group, [www.cisc.gmu.edu](http://www.cisc.gmu.edu)
- “GeoCyberinfrastructure” is a term that was discussed prior to formation of new specialty group in AAG

## Introduction

- animation of cloud top, predictable water concentration;
- animation shows that datasets are still missing
- without background on data do not understand what it is
- data are collected from different sensors
- where will potential flooding occur
- many global and env issues can benefit from datasets like this
- resolution of data is global, very coarse rez; need more high rez datasets
- more data should be collected for dealing with challenges
- need to integrate the existing heterogeneous and large amounts of datasets

- need new models and algorithms to mine and maintain the intrinsic relationships between phenomena and data collected; for both GIScience and domain scientists
- need different science domains to collaborate with each other and share knowledge so that CI works more effectively FOR them
- need to facilitate data, info, and knowledge sharing for research, development, education, and communication → this is what we mean by cyberinfrastructure

#### Example: Southwestern US Dust Storm Forecasting

- movement of dust storms
- dust forecasting performance

#### Traffic Simulation

- loading on servers is static, but when we drive in metro areas, the traffic flow is very different; taking a different route can save time
- need to do real time loading to help us select the best load
- need to integrate different data sets; instead of using static load, they use a different algorithm to do the loading in real time and cut the roads into different sections

#### Concurrent User Request

- each user submits request, loaded on fly, dispatched to different servers (CI)
- which request to send to which server?
- Near real-time loading can get to thousands of concurrent users; will overload facilities

#### George Mason Computing Environment Diagram

- cluster with 224 CPUs
- they connect to SURAGrid environment

#### LambdaRail

- transmit datasets at 1 gig bps connections on the network

#### Dust Storm Forecasting

trying to improve rez of dust forecasting

model simulation; different parcel size

performance evaluation (how many CPUs to leverage to support the simulation?)

Compared to prior, can leverage more CPUs with higher rez; 3k rez model running by using different number of CPU cores

- New Mexico; coverage is around 1.5 states – they can then extrapolate to how many more CPUs will be needed for national coverage
- Algorithm for this??

How much computing power for 1 km global water cycle simulation?

Another example of near real-time loading

Route change with congestion on roads in Clifton, VA

- don't want to waste CPU cycles
- takes too many computing resources (port connections)
- they developed new middleware to help with near real-time loading; allowed 8 simulation jobs to be submitted using only 4 CPU cycles
- help us to get good throughput within grid infrastructure

#### Sharing & Integrating Data Globally

- another animation, but with familiar background map; snow cover change on a global scale
- interoperability; using web map services (WMS) to put up data

#### Earth Information Exchange

##### Semantic Search

- access to several catalogs including Geospatial One-Stop, NOAA, NASA catalogs
- can pool together results of searching all of these into one interface
- use ontologies to navigate our search
- through semantic search you can link to catalogs served from different locations; don't have to know which catalog is being accessed but result of search comes back through one interface to the user

#### World Wind WMS View

##### 3D to look at different places

- goes to server to fetch data which is gradually updated
- using catalog services for the web (CSW)
- can access other data sets such as Terra Modis sensor (fire outbreaks?)

#### What's Missing Now?

- CI can really help us to enable scientific research and facilitate development of apps that we need, HOWEVER....
- GEOSS and Digital Earth envision to leverage all possible resources
- GEOSS is the Global Earth Obs System of Systems – still at early stage, still talking about portals and protocols, lot of work needs to be done
- Digital Earth – do we really have a Digital Earth as envisioned by Al Gore? No, but we have a journal ☺
- Microsoft Virtual Earth and Google Earth
- We are still far from initial vision
- Data integration and collection needed (e.g., earthquake in China – could data be collected and integrated quickly enough for emergency response, decision support)
- We still need technology beyond the current; consider data collection but mgmt and support, sharing, processing, analysis to support different applications
- Forthcoming book on *Advanced GeoInformation Science* on these topics, based on trainings given at GMU

Need more collaboration between computer scientists, geography, Earth science  
High Performance Computing still widely needed

Middleware is needed (NSF middleware initiative)

Gaps in workforce needs and education of students; not many courses on geocyberinfrastructure

More applications needed to showcase how CI could help us; e.g., emergency response (Wiley Thompson thesis at Oregon State)

“To Name a Few”

- funding agencies – NSF, USGS, NASA, EPA, DOE
- industry – IBM-Google, Microsoft
- GIScience
- AAG CISG – cyberinfrastructure specialty group
- UCGIS
- GIS&T Body of Knowledge
- More

Rene Sieber – evolution of CI from supercomputing is understood but what about social sciences and humanities; they need data but are not computationally intensive  
Social science has a different set of challenges – semantic searches, ontological issues are key; SDI stuff is key

- need interdisciplinary research; need the input of domain disciplines; not just GIS solution but how fluid dynamics would change in the dust storm
- social science needs to be included in the “domain science” realm

Barbara Poore

- social science including in GEON

how social interaction happens between scientific communities

2007 Understanding Infrastructure Dynamics Tensions and Designs workshop report, U. of Michigan

NSF Virtual Organization initiative

Andre Skupin

- trying to define a new discipline when it might be more appropriate to define a new subdiscipline within GIScience
- geographic is more holistic label than geospatial
- don't forget about collaborations intellectual paradigms that already exist

## **Knowledge as CI: Toward Geographic Knowledge Systems**

**Rob Raskin**

-transition from data to knowledge

- data is really at the level of numbers where knowledge is more about facts; information is in the middle and gives us the intermediate step between data and knowledge  
semantics = meaning of concepts

ontology can be used to make some efforts to STORE knowledge  
 What is Spatial Knowledge?

Ontology: Knowledge as CI

- context comes from SHARED understanding and agreements
- content is a dictionary that is readable by both humans and machines in the form of a namespace (URL, URI pointer)
- the authority for all of this is anyone, but the best will win out – perception is everything; people go to Wikipedia rather than online Encyclopedia Britannia

Enterprise-wide Ontology

What is the meaning of terms across different domains? Different groups are accumulating knowledge but talking to each other or sharing between each other in terms of concepts

smart discovery is key – Google does not understand the meaning of terms but neither does ArcGIS!

Knowledge commons = institutional memory for spatial concepts

Why Point to an Ontology?

Ontologies are static things that you point to which then enables machine-to-machine communication

Associate data with its context – you can therefore say anything that you want to say about a dataset; accuracy, assumptions of measurements, what do you mean when you say “climatological mean” for example; so there it goes BEYOND mere metadata because you can actually define what you mean by the terms that you are using

Use Case: Global Warming Query

Ontology Representations

Ontology first coined by philosophers to indicate “all that is known by people”

We now deal with “all that is known (by computers)”

Ontology Rep: Triple

Ontology Rep: Visual

Plate Tectonic Ontology

Ontology of an Organization (ESIP)

XML – humans and computers can read this fairly well so it is a reasonable compromise

RDF and OWL are XML languages

OWL lets you define much richer concepts of semantics, cardinality restrictions, etc.

Both RDF and OWL give us the building blocks

W3C is the leader in developing these languages

Open world assumption = if you haven’t stated a fact, it could still be true, unless you say something is false (more consistent with the web and the notion of incremental



knowledge); but in the database world, whatever is in the db tell you the whole truth; if is not present in the tuple it is false

Ontologies let us add facts incrementally which is a great advantage

SWEET

For Earth Science but also has spatial concepts

Numerics

Space

Time

Data and information

Data and information services

Why Use an Upper Level Ontology?

- allows for common concepts to be across physical and social sciences for examples; common definitions

SWEET 1.0 Ontologies

2000 concepts in about a dozen ontologies

SWEET Spatial Ontologies

SWEET 2.0 Modular Design

No longer a 1:1 relationship between facets and files

Files now organized by subject to help domain specialists

Instead of 12 large ontologies there are 100 small ontologies

Including Social Sciences

Of course many concepts don't fit in just one area; ok, you just repeat them in several different places

Ontologies need to capture ambiguity of the English language (English in THIS case)

What is the dependency of a term/topic? If it needs to go in more than one place, it can

Community Agreements: How to Optimally Use OWL

- need to have workshops for different domain specialists to come together to populate ontologies
- geological sciences have done this – plate tectonics, climate
- Marine Metadata Interoperability has done this for ocean sciences
- domain specialists (world leading authorities) with ontologist
- spatial decision support systems, U of Redlands - can build, mix and match, and end-to-end system for decision support
- pdf here = probability density function
- getting agreement, and get people to consistently work together to create a knowledge infrastructure to go with the hardware infrastructure
- NSF has had much fewer solicitations for knowledge; still focused on hardware; the more proposals we submit, the more they will realize the importance of the knowledge work

Planetary Ontologies project

Ontology Development Tools: CMAP

<http://cmap.ihmc.us/coe> (export and imports OWL and supports 80% of the features)

visually is so much more powerful than XML

Resources

[Sweet.jpl.nasa.gov](http://Sweet.jpl.nasa.gov)

[PlanetOnt.org](http://PlanetOnt.org)

**We need to see knowledge as an integral part of CI  
We have to work together; requires more meetings, workshops; add a workshop of this nature into your NSF proposal**

Karen Kemp – social science could be in the middle and other stuff could go on outside as opposed to SWEET 2.0 visualization

- the point is that anything can be inserted into the center; if your center of universe is different, you can switch the representation
- representation concepts end up coming in first

Renee Sieber working on Chinese historical biographic and geographic data project ontologies for GUI, query broker, all by way of a CI

Roland Viger - if a person were coming into this community from his own context how would he reorganize the existing structure to meet his needs? How to get people who don't normally talk to have a common language and move forward?

Let's put 2 ontologies side by side and compare and discuss; these are just conceptual maps. It is within the boxes that things really happen. Opening something like this on a community basis is really important

What would be a grand challenge in ontology? Go back to the "Use Case: Global Warming Query" slide and switch the process? Also, Tim's work on folksonomy and link that with ontology? And something that scales up and down

Scott – NSF document that he can pass to the group

## **UCGIS Knowledge Web**

**Tim Nyerges**

Overview of UCGIS as an organization

Knowledge Web at way of:

- Increasing the "value-added" for UCGIS as an organization to re-energize the membership and increase participation

- creating a way for the UCGIS Research and Education committees to collaborate on a common initiative

Can we carry the BoK to version 2 and make it the core of the Knowledge Web for those 2 thrusts of the organizations?

GIScience has always been a CI of sorts; has always been a knowledge infrastructure

Using the term “dynamics” along with CI is very attractive; dynamics of many things is a huge research challenge

Cyber-enabled Discovery and Innovation (CDI) cuts across NSF’s Cyberinfrastructure Vision for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Discovery

The GIScience Knowledge Web includes all 4

- 1) high performance computing (“**petabytes for peta flops**”)
- 2) data, data analysis, and visualization
- 3) virtual organizations
- 4) learning and workforce development

How does Digital Earth and SDI interplay with CI?

SDI does not always get you to all of the data that you need, hence the NSF DataNet initiative to assist with this

This workshop is running under an open world assumption! There are many more perspectives out there that can be taken into an account

GISKW – 3 Components (keep it simple in a triple)

- 1) Body of Knowledge – Research and Education
- 2) Workshops and Agenda – Research
- 3) Model Curriculum – Education

GISKW BoK R&E Component

AAG has offered up their Manuscript Central to assist (it is a CI of sorts for journals)  
5 managing editors for the next version of BoK so UCGIS is actively looking for funding for this

the scientific glue that disciplines need to be effective at larger scales

GISKW Research Component

“Networking” has not gone away

“Supercomputing” has not gone away

We don’t know what the next “word” beyond “cyberinfrastructure” will be – NSF has not gotten that far yet

### GISKW Education Component

What are the pathways through various educational processes that can be brought together?

### GISKW Education Component

#### Medium Term

- what about on your own campus; use a GIScience approach to collaborate on your own campus among multiple units; e.g., 20+ units on campus doing GIS or GIScience; there is an opportunity in GIScience and CI to move forward with an interdisciplinary perspective

### GISKW Information Architecture

GIS BoK already has an organizing structure within it that can be leveraged

The center of the world for mathematicians is math; for us it is space and time

Social sciences are much harder because everything changes so rapidly

Why do we still not have the ultimate collaborative infrastructure in place? Do we even know the criteria for such a platform?

### UCGIS Seeking Partners for 3-Component Effort

NSF is definitely a partner because of the CI potential for research and education

Tim – This often comes down to competing with computer scientists for this kind of money.

It's easy to be a social sci in CI and do science for society; the computer scientists are not adept at that so cannot compete

### USGS

#### NGA

ESRI – could not have done the BoK without their \$100K funding to support the workshops

Jeremy – can GIS be rolled into “geoinformatics” – politically how does GIScience stack up to geoinformatics – could be the reverse also

Tim: Geoinformatics a more practical approach to the Sciences than GIScience (nature of tools and techniques). Geoinformatics does not have to explore the nature of knowledge on a head of a pin. Ultimately they both overlap on each other and would depend on your world view.

Renee – NSF, AAG, UCGIS refer to the U.S. but other countries have bigger pots of money such as Canada.

Nick and Tim will align forces of UCGIS and GEOID. Developments in China and in Europe with AGILE are in play as well.

Jeff – How do we see this going beyond 77 members of UCGIS for the broader, international GIScience community?

Access on a computer lends itself to different levels of access.

AAG and UCGIS hold copyright to BoK. So it must begin with the managing editors, the relationship with the AAG, etc. But the BoK will be vetted worldwide by some level of community. Primary access

Scott – NSF does allow and support international collaboration through subcontracts, etc. And they like to see proposals funded internationally. What kinds of things would countries help support.

David Lightfoot at NSF – BYOB – “bring your own budget” – NSF will fund Americans working in collaboration with internationals

Phil – scope of GIScience, geoinformatics, Earth science community does not always think of itself as part of the GIScience community. How to deal with that dynamic? It’s about how to collaborate rather than putting one community above another in importance. We are still learning how to work with other (cognitive psychology literature, etc.). Large scale collaborations (folksonomy over ontology is key here, thousands of people making common meaning for the effective of democracy)

## **The National Map**

**Lynn Usery**

National Map is critical component of NSDI

Structure and practices relationships to Geospatial Data Program of USGS  
NSDI frames the NGP

FGDC not really in the production business anymore

National Map is the integrated topo content portion of the NGP

There was no Google Earth or Virtual Earth when the vision for the National Map was launched. They are working on viewer capability in today’s “Googlized world”

Right now there are too many access points to The National Map and they are trying to get down to one

Going from National Map 1.0 → 2.0 → 3.0

National Map 1.0:

Close to having complete 10-m coverage of US (90% there)

With NGA there is imagery over 130 urban areas at 1-foot resolution. City of Atlanta by itself is 1 Tb

Google Earth is using USGS geographic names, and has finally branded it as such

Hydrography coverage

Elevation data

Partnerships with states, liaisons with 42 of the states

For 8 data layers, 4 of them are vector; in coop with ESRI there is a best practices data model for transportation

National Map 2.0:

Changing from distributed to centralized databases

USGS has had a problem in that they get whatever partners have but now everything will go to centralized and will be distributed only through USGS guidance

GOS (Geospatial One-Stop) will be primary viewer

Developing a production capability for graphics (problems with hazards and homeland security)

Guided by a 2-year tactical plan

Many planned products and services

Can take data at highest resolution and generalize to lower resolutions

National Map 3.0:

National coverage 8 data layers

Seamless elevation, imagery, land cover, hydrography

Maps will be distributed as geo pdfs

Ontology-drive

Vision for this comes from an NRC report that was done for the USGS

Quality aware data model

Spatio-temporal data model

Going from layer-based to feature-based

## **USGS Center of Excellence for Geospatial Information Science (CEGIS)**

**Lynn Usery**

[Cegis.usgs.gov](http://Cegis.usgs.gov)

All projects, proposals on this web site (after 4-6 months of clearance)

Research is guided by previous plan about geography research at USGS, 2 of them about GIScience

CEGIS is a virtual center within the USGS and there are affiliated scientists as well (Keith Clarke, Barbara Battenfield, Cindy Brewer); post-docs as well (2 in attendance of the CI workshop)

They rely on CI to make things work

Maintain world-class expertise, leadership

In recent years, USGS has moved away from cartography and GIScience basic research and wants to return to that

NRC Study Overview (and how USGS has implemented those recommendations)

Among current projects that CEGIS is working on:  
 Ontology is at the core  
 Generalization  
 Data integration (fitting together data to make topo map)  
 Multi-rez raster data  
 More

Geographic Feature Ontology  
 USGS has been working on feature lists for years (essentially ontologies)

Ontology Project Status  
 Specialist Meeting with UCGIS in Feb 2009

Barbara Poore is PI on User Centered Design for Web Services  
 Cindy Brewer is PI on Electronic Topographic Map Design  
 Lynn Usery is PI on Data Integration (can you integrate based merely on the metadata)  
 Larry Stanislavski of SAIC is PI on Generalization (change rez/scale from 1:24K to 1:100K)  
 Multi-Rez Raster Data (pull map down and get it in desired projection, involves parallel computing)

Ontology feeds into their long-term projects

Questions  
 Skeptics of VGI, Open Street Map, etc.  
 USGS was one of the first orgs to use volunteers to collect information (National Map Corps back in 2000). Now USGS is out of this business because they have such a backlog of data from volunteers that they can't get to the processing. Started out with just names collection, then to structures info (but USGS represents a building with center of building, not just entrance). USGS would like to get back to the volunteerism.

Few people know about the National Map Corps

Are web services part of 2.0?  
 National Map is built on web services; catalog of web services  
 Layers currently available, but not all as web services

Bill Manley - Kudos to National Map, amazing resource  
 Example of months of effort and tens of thousands saved – 0.3 m orthoimagery in area of interest downloaded in a day  
 \$2M spent on orthoimagery, \$25M worth is available through partnerships  
 LIDAR for the nation

Critique of claims of neogeography:  
 Citizen scientist vs. domain scientist

Related to geospatial vs. geographic; reinventing something that already exists so that you forget the past

For example, Neogeography's definition of geography is mapmaking by professional cartographers (inadequate)

So we have to be more pro-active in defining what GIScientists do, geographers do, rather than letting other people decide and define for us what we are doing

Bunge's Theoretical Geography is slowly being lost and re-invented because geographers are not speaking out on this

For the sake of intellectual progress

Barbara Poore – user-centered work is more than just interface; includes the foundational question of who are the users and who are the potential users? Citizens? Neogeographers/ This realm of people has been excluded even from internal surveys. These are the people who will open up the future to us. Disciplinary boundary policing may hold GIScience community back. If you had a project that would enlist people with projects like the National Map could be incredibly productive. Can community police itself rather than central rules (GPS right to center of building)

Renee – citizens are technological partners; they advance the data, sharing, inferential geography issue; they should be looked upon as partners and should be invited, on the ground

Wikipedia as equivalent of open street map; free and Ciitizendum where there is some editing and authority, and quality control

Urban health, food, accidents, things that lead to bad health; they are presenting a lot of data that was not first thought of; rich creation of community-based GIS; scholars could not think of these data because we don't live in those conditions; citizens can add to our knowledge; it is contextual knowledge, part of their daily lives

Yes, there is difficulty in handling the processing

The wisdom of crowds – how to aggregate data; under what conditions

Financial page of the New Yorker, how businesses can leverage the wisdom of crowds

In health GIS, conventional GIS may neglect issues that are actual on-the-ground, issues that lead to poor health; a lot to be learned from citizen groups

Could not CI contribute to solving the problem of processing all of these data? Maybe, maybe not.

Andre - keep having to defend what USGS is doing; firefighters chose to use these kinds of data because they trusted them. They used USGS data rather than Open Street Map

Orthoimage

Special issue of *Cartographica*?



Neogeography issues in CI  
 Crossing the domains from physical to social science for CI  
 Andre, Rina, Renee, Barbara, neogeographers

Bridge between info and knowledge  
 We supply sufficient evidence to each other and we develop trust  
 Go after evidence; what is the character of that, that then leads to trust of the source  
 Evidence has to be “authoritative” and rhetorical as opposed to assertive – use of wikis  
 and people vote on whether they think evidence is reputable – we don’t talk enough about  
 the evidence issues to unpack and how it links to

There is trust and taking things ON trust without having the evidence

What are the similarities between world of words and the world of geographic space.  
 How is trust established on wikipedia on written word vs. maps and GPS recordings.

The National Map  
[Nmcatalog.usgs.gov/catalogwms/based](http://nrcatalog.usgs.gov/catalogwms/based)

We may need smarter computer rather than high performance computing

---

SOA

Sharing of data, methods, services – those technologies  
 semantic web services

FGDC best practices in geospatial SOA

How Web 2.0 and 3.0 and its impact

Carl Reed of OGC – OGC standards and SOA research

Bin Li – automated approach for service discovery and how RSS is used to discovery  
 geospatial services

Chris Mattman, Jim, 3 – how to deploy SOA for various applications

Problem still remains that service discovery is still a problem not just in GIScience but  
 mainstream in general.  
 If we want to integrate both data and services into CI, a system architecture design is  
 important

specific services  
 how to find them  
 how to make services reliable

---

## **GIScience 2008**

### **Joint Discussion Session Between SOA & Cyberinfrastructure Workshops**

**2:00-4:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 23, 2008**

Sustainability – deployment, development  
 (after we build it, what then?)  
 refers to ontologies as well  
 libraries to absorb cost? Sysadmin?

Permanent entity/community  
 ESIP Federation, need more groups like this (AAG?)  
 GUIs get left out, updating over time  
 Tools to make use of ontologies, to build, visualize – more tools to support more ontologies

#### **SUSTAINABILITY**

Long-term objective of a center  
 NSF program managers?  
 Sustainability remains a challenge, how to fund? Cost a huge issue  
 Many universities share a sysadmin with an entire school/college

1) Archival side (after project is done)  
 2) Evolution side (more complex)  
 myUSGS.gov is a start

1) Operational model for system, with transition plans  
 2) Amazon web services, other vendor services

Examples at Indiana University?

Katy Börner model of getting CI money from NSF and used that to hire professional programmers (implement CI ideas only to create sustainability; get framework, architecture down)  
 Open Source, Development Paradigm

**NSF Vision for Cyberinfrastructure** – but vigorous planning process to plan next CI calls for CISE/ENG, cross-cutting Science Community Participation Process  
TeraGrid – next phase planning, GISolve involved  
Next Generation CI

NSF is aware of GIScience but could be even more aware

Grand challenge of competing with Computer Science; need to have a CS/IS/Engr person on proposal for CISE; but [CDI \(Cyber-Enabled Discovery & Innovation\)](#) and other programs are broader

DataNet proposals require a sustainability plan. These are huge proposals for up to \$20 million but how about “sustainability” in much smaller proposals?

**Proposal on sustainability as main focus might fly with CDI for example;** how is this all getting out into society; a good “business model” for example for web services, an SOA for a community  
Also, give scientists access to public algorithms (could be part of UCGIS Knowledge Web also?); this is sustainability also  
SOA is all about this  
Ontologies going to a community (e.g., SourceForge). Is there a SourceForge for ontologies (OOR, Open Ontologies Registry)

Advanced data and visualization services – 2-3 projects at \$3M over 5 year cycle  
4 types of services - \$27M per year for 5 years

Sustainability issue – Two important questions at outset, before going after money: Does our research demand CI capabilities and if so, what are they?  
Are we going to build CI, and if so, how do we go about it?

Existing CI can help smaller projects
---------------------------------------

UCGIS Knowledge Web an example of thinking this through

PPGIS – don’t underestimate what citizen scientist can do; can they share code, methods, services too?

What do we need and when we have this, how is our research improved?

Community information systems in extremely poor neighborhoods (little technology but value the potential; can see the power of spatial)

Where to get the funding for this?

Community groups devastated by budget cutbacks

Prior interaction with Dan Atkins – integration as a key component, knowledge communities

Work with TeraGrid, plug into common CI projects

Mosaic created with \$20K; sometimes most successful outcomes don't have to be funded at huge level

Foundations?

Google provides CI, partnership with IBM for data-centric computing

A disciplinary community to support smaller projects within the community

CDC on a notifiable disease system? WholsSick.org HealthMap.org; value back to the community at a fraction of the larger cost; CDC

How can CI interact more with GeoWeb; GeoCommons.org – users upload data and GeoCommons renders as map, table, chart, tag cloud – can we go beyond map, make rendering distributed, etc. within a Web 2.0 model

NSF is about funding big science for the nation, to meet national needs

- e.g., nationwide network of communities in crisis?

What kinds of big questions need to be answered and then go to appropriate funding agency accordingly

Indiana University Social Efforts and Vulnerability Indicators project?

Funded by foundations; Des Moines United Way to integrate their community information system into their existing structure

**PARTNERSHIPS!**

Not just providing a service, but there is original, compelling research that comes out of the projects

NSF Geography and Regional Science

January 20, 2009??? ☺

**Opportunities for Action:**

- 1) PNAS special issue – Dawn and Shaowen
  - 2) Advanced Geoinformation Science book - Phil  
Linkages to Earth Science community
  - 3) Cartographica article submissions or perhaps special issue –  
Jeremy
  - 4) DataNet solicitation which would involve multiple institutions –  
Nancy; preliminary proposal deadline is Nov. 10<sup>th</sup>;
  - 5) Springer book series on Cyberinfrastructure - Xuan
  - 6) AAG Annual Meeting in Las Vegas – propose a session and CISG  
and GISS groups would co-sponsor; Oct. 16<sup>th</sup> deadline
  - 7) CIGI Virtual Organization, part of Open Science Grid, sponsored  
by NSF and DoE – Shaowen  
DoE certificate allowing access to large repositories around the  
country
  - 8) USGS Specialist Meeting on Ontologies with UCGIS, February,  
2009, Washington, DC – position papers for travel funding –  
coordinated through UCGIS
- USGS personnel cannot be PIs on NSF projects, but they can be co-  
PIs, senior personnel; USGS has big datasets, juicy problems
- 10) [www.GISolve.org](http://www.GISolve.org) - request an account – Shaowen; access to  
services
  - 11) CIGI of AAG – newest group of AAG; vision document/white  
paper to inform NSF; value of CI for geographic science  
Education Committee to educate geographers on value of CI  
Outreach Committee – article for AAG newsletter  
Awards Committee – student awards at Annual Meeting

## THEY COULD USE MORE VOLUNTEERS!

12) CDC Knowledge Base – team.cdc.gov, [jtobias@cdc.gov](mailto:jtobias@cdc.gov) - he can submit to sitescape team; access to CDC enterprise GIS knowledge base; past PPTs, organizational memory; links to other places on the web; some similar content to GIS&T BoK; vendor-specific info; code sets, snippets (e.g., SAS) for generating maps on the fly; 475 users around the world

13) UCGIS Winter Assembly, first week of February, 2009; Workshop on next steps with UCGIS Knowledge Web (Friday morning); Washington, DC; focus on transportation as well  
[www.ucgis.org](http://www.ucgis.org)