Answering Real-World QUESTIONS

An antiaircraft gun point feature has been symbolized as two threat dome layers—one with a 5,500-meter radius and the other with an 8,000-meter radius.

Using **3D** volumetric analysis techniques in ArcGIS 10

By Nathan Shephard ArcGIS 3D Analyst Visualization Product Engineer Lead



 \blacktriangle The threat dome has been trimmed by the visibility volume for the antiaircraft gun.

Continued on page 8

Answering Real-World QUESTIONS

Continued from page 7

Some geographic questions can only be answered in 3D. Which offices in a building are close to these stored dangerous materials? How much water will fit inside this valley? Will my backyard suntanning days be over once that new building is finished? analysis of other problems such as locating an underground pipe away from planned subsurface drilling or assessing the potential effects of vibrations caused by a proposed subway on the residents of nearby homes.

Pilots don't want to be targets and try to

avoid routes where they are likely to be fired upon. Identifying the sections of a planned route where this kind of thing could happen can make or break a mission. This is an inherently 3D problem, because the ranges calculated for weapons are often based on direct 3D distances.

Continued on page 10



Intersect 3D, Inside 3D, and Skyline Barrier are some of the analytic tools that were added to the ArcGIS 3D Analyst extension at version 10 specifically for working with GIS data in true volumetric space. This article illustrates how these new tools can be used to answer 3D GIS questions by stepping you through the process of performing two analyses that use techniques with broad applications. The key point in the specific use cases cited in these examples is that 3D GIS tools are generic and can be applied to solve a wide variety of problems. Just as the Buffer tool can have many applications, the Intersect 3D, Skyline, and Skyline Barrier tools can be used to provide answers to many different kinds of questions.

Example 1: Avoiding Dangerous Airspace

The first question we'll investigate using these new tools in 3D Analyst is, How risky is this flight through dangerous airspace? While this is obviously a military example, it's not too difficult to imagine that techniques for analyzing the intersection of a flight path with dangerous airspace could be applied to the



The orange and red volumes illustrate the dangerous airspace within the blue flight corridor.

An Additional Dimension for Analysis

New geoprocessing tools in the 3D Features toolset

3D GIS moves beyond visualization in ArcGIS 10. The analysis of 3D vector features is a major focus of this release of the ArcGIS 3D Analyst extension. Now geoprocessing tasks can use closed multipatches and 3D features. The new suite of 3D set operators includes Intersect 3D, Union 3D, Inside 3D, Is Closed 3D, and Difference 3D. Skyline and Skyline Barrier expose 3D vector analyses designed specifically for virtual city workflows. Existing geoprocessing tools have been enhanced so they work more effectively with 3D data. For example, the Select By Location tool dialog box uses 3D distances, and multipatch objects can now participate in the Line Of Sight tool. Network datasets have full 3D connectivity. The accompanying table lists the new 3D geoprocessing tools in ArcGIS 10.

| Geoprocessing tool | Description |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Add Z Information | Examines each 3D shape and adds selected properties as attributes to the input feature class. The output options vary based on the input shape type. |
| Construct Sight Lines | Constructs lines between each of the observer points and each of the target features. |
| Difference 3D | Computes the geometric intersection of two volumes defined by closed multipatch features based on the geometric intersection of their patches, then subtracts all the volumes of one feature class from the other and writes the result to a new output feature class. |
| Feature To 3D By Attribute | Adds a height dimension based on one or two attributes. The height (z-value) of the shape of each feature in the input feature class is set to the value found in the user-specified height field in that feature class. |
| Inside 3D | Tests each feature to determine if it falls inside a multipatch feature. If it does, the tool writes an entry to a new table indicating which feature it fell within. |
| Intersect 3D | Computes the geometric intersection of two volumes defined by closed multipatch features based on the geometric intersection of their patches. Features or portions of features that overlap in the two layers and/or feature classes will be written to the output feature class. |
| Intersect 3D Line With Multipatch | Computes a geometric intersection of the input line and multipatch features and returns the number of points of intersection. Points (of intersection) and/or lines (resulting from input lines being broken at intersection points) can optionally be written to output feature classes. |
| Is Closed | Tests each multipatch to see if it completely encloses a volume, then adds a new field with a flag for each multipatch feature in the input layer or feature class indicating if that feature is closed or not. |
| Near 3D | Determines the distance from each feature in the input features to the nearest features within the search radius. |
| Skyline | Generates a line or multipatch feature class containing the results from a skyline silhouette analysis. The analysis is conducted from observer points above a functional or virtual surface and will also consider features that are encountered during the analysis. When used in conjunction with other tools, especially the Skyline Barrier tool, shadow volumes and other such features can be created. |
| Skyline Barrier | Generates a multipatch feature class representing a skyline barrier or shadow volume. The barrier is in a sense a surface and looks similar to a triangle fan formed by drawing a line from the observer point to the first vertex of the skyline, then sweeping the line through all the vertices of the skyline. A skirt and base can optionally be added to form a closed multipatch, giving the appearance of a solid. It is possible to create this closed multipatch so that it can serve as a shadow volume. If the input is a silhouette (a multipatch feature class) rather than a skyline (a polyline feature class), the multipatch is extruded into a shadow volume. |
| Skyline Graph | Calculates sky visibility and optionally generates a table and a polar graph. The table and graph represent the horizontal and vertical angles going from the observer point to each of the vertices on the skyline. |
| Union 3D | Computes the geometric intersection of the patches of overlapping multipatches, then aggregates the multipatches together. The number of features created as output depends on the tool's settings. |

New geoprocessing tools in the 3D Features toolset

Answering Real-World QUESTIONS

Continued from page 8

Certain base data is required to analyze this problem: an elevation surface, the proposed flight path, locations of threats, and information about the effective range of each threat. To translate these requirements into GIS terms, you will need an elevation surface such as a raster digital elevation model (DEM), a triangulated irregular network (TIN), or a terrain dataset; a 3D line feature class that describes the proposed route(s); and a 2D (or 3D) point feature class that conconstruction of threat dome features using the following workflow.

- **1.** In the ArcScene application in 3D Analyst, add the point feature class twice to create two layers in the table of contents.
- 2. Set the symbology for each layer to Simple Marker Symbol > Sphere.
- **3.** Set the Advanced > Size value for one layer to InnerThreatDistance and the other to OuterThreatDistance.
- 4. Use the Layer 3D To Feature Class geo-

Skyline tool generates the visible horizon for each threat position. The Skyline Barrier tool can effectively project this horizon up into the sky, displaying the results as a volume representation of the visible airspace above each threat point. Intersecting these volumes with the pure distance-based threat spheres generates danger zones that incorporate both *visibility* and *lethal ranges*.



How tall can the buildings located in the orange area be and still remain unseen from the blue viewpoints? To identify which sections of the proposed route intersect the 3D danger zones, use the Intersect Line With Multipatch tool. It splits the route into sections of low, medium, and high risk. The 3D distances of each segment can be easily calculated using the Calculate Geometry option for a field or the Add Z Information tool. This exposes information that will be summarized into graphs. For example, one graph could summarize the overall risk, while another could display the flight path as ordered stretches of risk. Repeating this analysis for multiple proposed routes and comparing the resulting graphs can help select the best route.

Advanced users can expand this analysis



✓ Use the Skyline and Skyline Barrier tools to calculate a barrier or fan that separates the ground from the sky from each viewpoint.

tains attributes for threats. This example uses a 5-meter raster DEM.

In the first step, the 2D point features are converted to quantifiable threat domes. This example has three antiaircraft (AA) gun positions. Each AA gun has different effective ranges depending on the presence or absence of radar assistance. The outer zone (where radar assistance is required) is less dangerous than the inner zone because the plane's countermeasures are more effective.

This information can be converted into threat domes (which are more easily understood) using feature attributes to drive the processing tool to convert these symbolized layers into volumes and store them as multipatch features in two new feature classes.

Layer transparency and layer rendering priority provide the framework for seeing these nested/overlapping threat domes in a three-dimensional view. Although these threat domes are useful, they do not take into account one of the most useful factors when trying to protect planes from antiaircraft fire—the terrain.

The Skyline and Skyline Barrier tools can help incorporate terrain into this analysis. The





◀ Use the skyline fans to slice vertical sticks within the area of interest.

◄ The virtual lines indicate elevations that cannot be seen from the road. The top vertex of each is displayed as a point feature.

by converting the infinitely thin flight paths into volumetric flight corridors. One simple way to do this is to buffer the flight path into a polygon and extrude that polygon between a minimum and maximum altitude range. Using the volume-based Intersect 3D geoprocessing tool (rather than the line-based Intersection tool), all the threat volumes within the corridor can be derived. This provides additional options for accessing flight safety. For example, the north side of a flight corridor could be safer than the south. It also provides a more complete summary of the total dangers associated with a flight corridor. Note: The 3D volumetric analysis tools can create incredibly complex geometries that can contain hundreds of thousands of triangles, which may exceed the number of operations that can be run. However, this restraint is expected to ease over time as the underlying Computational Geometry Algorithms Library (CGAL) expands.

Example 2: Hiding Tall Buildings

The second question we'll examine, How tall can these buildings be without being visible from nearby major roads? is a real urban development use case that has been mandated by law in many countries. However, the same workflow could also be applied to other scenarios: placing mining rigs so they can't be seen, finding locations that hide military vehicles from view, or identifying sections of a forest that can be harvested while minimally impacting the appearance of the surrounding countryside.

This scenario is similar to the children's game of hide-and-seek—find a place where it's hard for others to see you. This seemingly simple problem can be challenging to solve using software. However, by thinking creatively, 3D analysis tools can be used to figure out where

Continued on page 12

Answering Real-World QUESTIONS

Continued from page 11



to hide 3D GIS features. In this example, those features are proposed buildings that shouldn't be visible to drivers on nearby major roads.

Again, the first and most crucial step is acquiring the appropriate data. For this example, that data is an elevation surface, 2D data for the area of interest, and data for the view positions to be protected. In terms of GIS data, that equates an elevation surface (a raster DEM, TIN, or terrain dataset), a 2D polygon feature class containing the area of interest, and a 2D (or 3D) point feature class that describes the view positions. For this example, the elevation data will come from a TIN.

The area of interest is behind a ridge, so the first task is creating a barrier (or fan) that defines the separation between the sky and the ground from each view position. In this example, only the elevation surface is being used to generate the skyline features. If existing structures were in this vicinity, they could also be included in the calculations. The workflow for this example is

- **1.** Run the Skyline tool using the view- points and elevation surface to create skyline features.
- **2.** For improved performance, set feature attributes for each viewpoint to limit the sweep of the calculation.
- **3.** Run the Skyline Barrier tool using the skyline features and viewpoints to create skyline fans.

After calculating the visibility planes, the next step is calculating the 3D space between

these planes and the ground in the area of interest. Although there are several ways to run this analysis, one simple method that scales well (because it avoids potentially complex and enormous geometries) is to model the area of interest as vertical lines and slice those lines using the skyline barrier fans as described by the following workflow:

- 1. Run Create Random Points to fill the polygon with many point features.
- **2.** Use layer properties to extrude the points just created into vertical sticks.
- **3.** Run the Layer 3D To Feature Class tool to convert the vertical sticks into vertical line features.
- **4.** Run the Intersect 3D Line With Multipatch tool to cut the vertical lines with the skyline fans.

Once all the virtual lines have been cut by the fans, the remaining sections represent elevations that cannot be seen from the view positions.

These 3D vertical lines can be converted into maximum height construction zones. The top points can be used to create a new TIN surface using the Create TIN and Edit TIN tools. This surface defines the top of the volume that is hidden from view. Comparing this surface to the base elevation surface using the Surface Difference tool will result in a raster in which each cell value represents the hidden building height for that area.

Displaying this raster output using a simple

class-breaks renderer completes the analysis of this problem. This layer can be viewed in 3D or copied into ArcMap and shared as a 2D map. The layer makes it simple to identify where structures of a certain size can be built that while remaining concealed from view on the roads.

Conclusion

The two questions answered in this article were complex. 3D GIS was integral to arriving at the correct solutions. Both were tackled using standard GIS techniques such as attribute-driven symbology, feature intersection, and surface generation. This shows just how far 3D GIS has come with the release of ArcGIS 10. Although you might not be a fighter pilot or the architect of high-rise buildings, you can see from the examples in this article how these 3D techniques can be adapted to solve questions you face. The visibility analysis in the second example is covered in detail as part of the instructor-led Working with 3D GIS Using ArcGIS training course. See training.esri.com for more information on this course.

About the Author

Nathan Shephard has a bachelor's degree in computer science (GIS) from Curtin University in Western Australia. He worked for three years with ESRI Australia before coming to the Esri Redlands campus in 1999.